

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/750,592	12/28/2000	Bhupal Kanaiyalal Dharia	SPECT-01041US0 DEL 6761	
38284 7	7590 06/09/2004		EXAMINER	
RYDER IP LAW, PC			RAMPURIA, SHARAD K	
DOUGLAS J. 3669 CONCO			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	VN, PA 18901		2683	
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/750,592	DHARIA ET AL.				
v.a	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sharad Rampuria	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 21 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) _ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:		•				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	i be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Second		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	• • • • •	•	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
						





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that the dynamically select preferred ones of transceiver stations to provide particular dedicated channels for particular mobile station separately from one of said transceiver stations providing particular broadcast channels for particular mobile station does not disclosed in McLaughlin et al. However, McLaughlin et al. disclosed "A particular one of the zone managers ... is selected as the active one for the user... broadcasts on a unique forward broadcast channel". (fig. 5; Col.11; 62-Col.12; 3). as well as Howard et al. teaches the method of dynamic channel allocation from Tables 2 thru 5 (Col.30; 7-23) which also meets the claimed limitation. Because the above reason, the rejection still meets the claimed limitation. Therefore, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600